

US Regulatory Perspectives on Biologics Evaluation and Control



Ruth Wolff

Biologics Consulting Group



Legal Basis

- Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act
- Public Health Service Act
 - Section 351



Jurisdiction

- FD&C Act

- Drugs
- Devices
- Biological products

- Public Health Service Act

- Biological products



PHS Act

- Requires a biologics license
- Permits suspension and revocation of licenses
- Review of the manufacturing facility is integral to review of the product



Biological Product

- Virus
- Therapeutic serum
- Toxin
- Antitoxin
- Vaccine
- Blood, blood component or derivative
- Allergenic product
- Trivalent organic arsenic compound
- Analogous product



Examples

■ Drug

- Small molecule
- Organ derived product

■ Biological product

- Vaccine
- Plasma fractionation product

■ Device

- Blood supply test kit
- Antibody based cell sorter



Code of Federal Regulations

- 21CFR 312 Investigational drugs
- 21CFR 314 Drugs
- 21CFR 600 Biological products
- 21CFR 812 Investigational Devices
- 21CFR 814 Devices
- 21CFR 1271 Cells and Tissues
- 21CFR 211 cGMP
- 21CFR 820 Device Quality Systems



Product Evolution

- Recombinant technology
- Synthetic molecules
- Source material concerns
- Progress in health care
- Combination products



Combination Product

- Two or more regulated components that are combined or mixed and produced as a single entity
- Two or more separate products packaged together into a single package or unit



Recombinant Technology

- Monoclonal antibodies
 - Biologic, analogous to blood
- Enzymes
 - Biologic
 - Drug if predicate is organ - derived



Synthetic

■ Peptides

- Drug
- Biologic

■ Nucleic acids

- Drug



Source Material Concerns

- Emerging health issues
 - Recombinant growth hormone - Drug
- Supply concerns
 - Recombinant clotting factor - Biologic
 - Recombinant glucocerebrosidase - Drug



Health Care

- Antibody conjugates
 - Biologic if radiolabeled
 - Biologic if toxin conjugate
 - Drug if chemical conjugate
- Paired treatments
 - Coated implants
 - Interferon and ribavarin



Product Jurisdiction

- 1990 amendment to FD&C Act
 - Concept of combination products
 - Clarification of product jurisdiction
- 1991 Intercenter Agreements
 - CDER/CBER
 - CDER/CDRH
 - CBER/CDRH



Intercenter Agreements

- Intended to clarify product review assignments
- For biological products, potential for inconsistencies
- Variable expectations
 - Product understanding
 - Clinical program
 - Type of application
 - Regulatory requirements



Governing regulations

■ Investigational products

- 21CFR 312 for Drugs and Biologics
- 21CFR 812 for Devices

■ Market applications

- 21CFR 314 for Drugs
- 21CFR 600 for Biologics
- 21CFR 814 for Devices

■ Quality

- 21CFR 211 for Drugs and Biologics
- 21CFR 820 for Devices



Current thinking

- Office of Combination Products
 - Central role in regulation
 - Decisions on product jurisdiction
- 2005 Final Rule
 - Defines Primary Mode of Action
 - Provides algorithm for assignment of lead center for product review



■ CDER / CDRH and CBER / CDRH

- Guidance to clarify distinction between drug and device (PMOA)
- Additional guidance in areas not envisioned by original documents

■ CBER / CDER

- Account for transfer of therapeutic biological products
- Clarify assignment of single entity products
- Intention to rescind agreement as obsolete



Changing Paradigms

■ Focused collaborations

- Regulatory science
 - ◆ Improved manufacturing methodologies
 - ◆ Tools for effective drug development
 - ◆ Delivery systems
 - ◆ Contaminant detection systems
- Medical science
 - ◆ Predicting safety and efficacy
 - ◆ Biomarkers
 - ◆ Clinical trial design
 - ◆ Data collection

- 
- Quality systems and risk management
 - Harmonize pharmaceutical and device requirements
 - Incorporate advances in manufacturing science
 - Six system inspection model
 - Quality by design



Practicalities

■ Today at FDA

- Increasing responsibilities in all disciplines
- Decreasing resources
- Focused attention on specific items



■ Process Validation

- Greater understanding of process capabilities
- Greater scrutiny of small scale studies as predictors of commercial scale performance
- Variability in validation requirements

■ Specifications

- Data on which justifications are based



■ Test methods

- Formal study to identify stability indicating assays
- Appropriateness of host cell protein assay reagents
- Methods to assess immunogenicity



- Product comparability
 - Appropriateness of methods used
 - Correlation with clinical data
- Greater scrutiny of clinical data
 - Endpoints chosen
 - Measurements of success