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Causes of Immunogenicity

- Sequence differences between therapeutic protein and endogenous protein
- Structural alterations
  - Aggregation
  - Oxidation
  - Deamidation and degradation
  - Conformational changes
- Storage conditions
- Production/purification
- Formulation
- Route, dose and frequency of administration
- Immune status of patient
- Genetic background
Immunogenicity Prediction

- May play a role in future drug development
- Could be useful in early drug development and in design of second-generation products
- Could significantly reduce development costs
- The story is still building
Animal Models

- At this time, animal models cannot predict immunogenicity in humans

- Factors limiting predictive value
  - Immune system differences between humans, other primates, and other mammals
  - Lack of 100% homology between human therapeutic protein and non-human endogenous protein
Animal Models for Differential Immunogenicity

- Animal models can be useful for comparing immunogenicity of 2 similar products
  - Parent and second generation product
  - Original therapeutic and product after process changes have been made

**NOTE:** This will still not necessarily reflect what happens in humans, but may provide advance warning if comparator has different immunogenicity profile from original
Value of Preclinical Immunogenicity Assessment

- Interpret toxicology findings (was the animal exposed to the therapeutic protein or was the protein neutralized by the immune response)
- Provide insight into potential consequences of immunogenicity
- However, preclinical findings are not predictive for human immunogenicity
How Do T-Cells Boost an Immune Response?

- Initial immune response is typically IgM, low affinity, and low concentration.
- T-cell help is needed for class switching and affinity maturation that is required for a robust immune response.
- High affinity mature antibodies of the IgG class are more likely to neutralize effects of therapeutic proteins.
Clinical Trials

- Immunogenicity is best determined through controlled clinical trials
  - Studies need to be powered to detect immunogenicity
  - Duration should be at least 6 months to 1 year
  - Ab samples taken at time when circulating drug has cleared or methods utilized to compensate for high levels of circulating drug
  - Assays should be robust, sensitive, specific, and validated
  - Binding and neutralizing Abs should both be measured
Significance of Antibody Results

- Factors effecting interpretation of results
  - Magnitude of response (titer)
  - Duration of response (continuous or sporadic)
  - Correlation with AE
  - Correlation with change in PK (sustaining or clearing)
  - Biologically neutralizing antibodies
Relevance of Antibody Response

- Examine relevance by patient
  - Determine effect of immune response on each patient

- Assess impact of immune response in patients on the project
  - Track rate of antigenicity
  - Track magnitude of immune response
  - Track rate of neutralizing antibody formation
Antibody Significance

Antibody Response = all antibodies generated in a patient in response to a drug

Clinically Relevant Ab =
1) Clearing Ab
2) Sustaining Ab
3) Neutralizing Ab
4) Allergic reaction
5) Cross-reacting with endogenous protein
“Clearing” Antibody

Serum Concentration (ng/mL) vs. Time (day)

1st Dose Ab Negative

3rd Dose Ab Positive
“Sustaining” Antibody

![Graph showing serum concentration over time for antibody positive and antibody negative samples.](image-url)
Drug Induces Neutralizing Antibody to Drug and to Endogenous Protein
Strategy for Immunogenicity Testing

- Tiered approach
  - Screening immunoassay
  - Confirmatory immunoassay
  - Bioassay for neutralizing antibodies

- Sensitive, specific, and robust methods required
- Validation of assays to allow interpretation of results
- Incorporate “risk-based” approach
How Should Antibodies be Tested?

- Many different formats available
- No “perfect” assay currently exists
Immunoassay Platforms for Detecting Antibodies

- ELISA
  - Bridging format
  - Direct format
  - Indirect format

- Radioimmune precipitation

- Surface plasmon resonance

- Electrochemiluminescence
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Pros and Cons - ELISA

**Pros**
- Established technology, equipment and expertise readily available
- Sensitive
- Inexpensive and high throughput
- Bridging format is highly specific

**Cons**
- Can suffer from high background
- Limited in ability to detect low-affinity antibodies
- Difficult to confirm IgM antibodies in competition experiments
Radioimmune Precipitation Assay

1. Dilute sample
2. Add radioactive-labeled drug
3. Add Protein A, precipitate Ab, and measure labeled drug
Pros and Cons - RIA

- **Pros**
  - Highly sensitive
  - Established method

- **Cons**
  - Use of radioactivity
  - Not ideal at detecting low-affinity antibodies
  - May not detect early immune response
Surface Plasmon Resonance (Biacore)
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Pros and Cons - SPR

- **Pros**
  - Real-time detection is ideal for detection of low affinity Abs
  - Very good method for detecting early immune response
  - Ability to characterize detected Abs

- **Cons**
  - Equipment expense
  - Not as sensitive as other methods
  - Not as established as other methods
  - Throughput is moderate to low
Electrochemiluminescence Assay (ECL)

- Biotinylated TP
- Ruthenylated TP
- Anti-TP antibody
- Streptavidin

Measured signal is light

Luminescence
Emitting Light

Chemical Energy

Electro-
Electrochemically Initiated

Ru(bpy)$_2^{2+}$ → Ru(bpy)$_3^{3+}$ → TPA$^*$ → TPA$^{*+}$ → H$^+$

Electrode
Pros and Cons - ECL

- **Pros**
  - Highly sensitive
  - Broad dynamic range
  - Generally better than ELISA for detecting early immune response
  - Can be automated for high throughput

- **Cons**
  - Limited availability, not yet well-established
  - Can be subject to pronounced “hook effect”
  - May not detect all low affinity Abs
Characterization of Antibodies

- Isotype determination
- Binding inhibition with soluble drug
- Determination of relative binding affinity
- Relative antibody concentration
- Specificity to native and second generation product
- Ability to neutralize in a cell-based system
Biacore: Determination of Antibody Isotype

- Sample Injection
- Sample Dissociation
- Anti-IgG Injection
- Anti-IgE, Anti-IgA, and Anti-IgM Injection
- Confirmatory Binding
- Regeneration
“High” and “Low” Affinity Antibodies

Low Affinity Antibody
(rapidly dissociating)

High Affinity Antibody
Bioassays for Neutralizing Antibodies

Culture cells
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Measure biological response (proliferation)

Add drug and Ab sample

Measure biological response (proliferation)
Receptor Tyrosine Kinase Activation

- Cell line untreated and treated with a growth factor for 20 minutes.
- Blue is Hoechst nuclear stain and green represents phosphorylated receptor antibody.
Transcription Factor Activation

- Cell line untreated and treated with a growth factor for 30 minutes.
- Blue is Hoechst nuclear stain and green represents a transcription factor.
- In untreated cells, is inactive and remains primarily in the cytoplasm. Upon activation, a transcription factor translocates to the nucleus to mediate gene expression.

No treatment  + Growth Factor
Neutralizing Antibodies

- Bioassay used to determine ability of the antibody to neutralize a biological effect of the drug in a cell-based system
  - Proliferation assay
  - Cytokine release assay
  - m-RNA measurement

- Bioassays typically more variable and less sensitive than immunoassays

- When a biological assay cannot be developed, can substitute a functional immunoassay
Unique Features of Bioassays

- Determines effect of an antibody in a cell-based system
- Only assay that determines if an antibody can neutralize the biological effect of the drug
- Results must be coupled with a specific immunoassay to verify neutralization is due to antibody
Antibody Response to Therapeutic Proteins

- Historically, many therapeutic proteins have induced antibody formation, effects of which range from minimal to significant
- Some of these antibodies are associated with serious adverse effects
- Both biopharmaceutical industry and regulatory agencies continue to partner for a better approach to antibody testing
Antibody-Mediated PRCA

- Professor Nicole Casadevall reported in NEJM in 2002 on cases of antibody-mediated pure red cell aplasia in patients treated with ESAs
- These patients had neutralizing antibodies against erythropoietin
- Very few reports in the literature of this phenomenon prior to the Casadevall manuscript
- Focused attention on the analytical procedures used for detecting and characterizing antibodies against ESAs
## Summary of Anti-EPO Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>RIP*</th>
<th>ELISA</th>
<th>BIACORE</th>
<th>Bioassay</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>001</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>002</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>003</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>004</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>005</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>006</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>007</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>008</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* RIP = Radioimmune precipitation assay  
+ = Positive for anti-rHuEPO antibodies  
– = Negative for anti-rHuEPO antibodies
## Characterization of PRCA Antibodies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Relative Ab Concentration (mcg/ml)</th>
<th>Ab Dissociation Rate (RU/min)</th>
<th>Predominant Isotype</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>43.46</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>IgG4 (IgG1, 2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.86</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>IgG4 (IgG1, 3, 2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.57</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>IgG4 (IgG1, 2, 3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.96</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>IgG1 (IgG4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.57</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>IgG4 (IgG1, 2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.68</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>IgG1 (IgG4, 2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.78</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>IgG4 (IgG2, 1, 3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.10</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>IgG4 (IgG1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Antibody Related Issues in Clinical Studies and Post-Approval Stage

**Patient safety**
- Cross reactivity with endogenous proteins
- Allergic reactions
- Immune complexes-complement activation

**Reduced efficacy**
- Neutralizing and/or clearing antibodies

**Altered PK**
- Enhanced drug clearance
- Drug accumulation
- Interference with PK assay
Conclusions

- Recombinant therapeutic proteins can be immunogenic
- Antibodies to therapeutic proteins can cause difficulties in preclinical animal studies and occasionally, serious side effects in humans
- Antibody testing method can greatly impact the results
- Careful antibody monitoring with appropriate assays is necessary throughout preclinical and clinical development in order to ensure safety and efficacy of therapeutic proteins
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