

## 1 Packaging Integrity Evaluation of Sterile 2 Products

3 (無菌医薬品包装の完全性評価)

### 4 1. Introduction

5 Package integrity for sterile pharmaceutical products is  
6 ability to prevent microbial ingress and the entry or escape  
7 of substances, which is required for the packaging for ster-  
8 ile preparations to maintain their quality products.

9 This General Information is used to evaluate the package  
10 integrity of the primary packaging or the secondary pack-  
11 aging of sterile pharmaceutical products that are required  
12 to have a barrier function from microorganisms, reactive  
13 gases and other substances that affect quality, from the  
14 viewpoint of protection of products. A packaging defect is  
15 defined as the situation that unexpected leakage caused by  
16 incorrect design or by some abnormality occurred during  
17 manufacturing processes or storage up to the shelf life of  
18 preparations induces the loss of the intended barrier func-  
19 tion of the packaging resulting in the unsustainability of the  
20 quality of the preparation including sterility. .

21 The package integrity testing is applied throughout the  
22 product life cycle by product stability programs after the  
23 launch, beginning from the development of preparations.

### 24 2. Package integrity and testing

#### 25 2.1. Concept of package integrity

26 Package integrity for sterile pharmaceutical products is  
27 necessary to ensure the quality of products before use. Pri-  
28 mary packaging for sterile pharmaceutical products should  
29 ensure no ingress of microorganisms from the outside. In  
30 addition, if a gas such as water vapor or oxygen affects the  
31 quality of products by its moving between in and out of the  
32 primary packaging, the quality should be maintained by  
33 controlling the amount of gas transfer in the primary pack-  
34 aging, or combining multiple packaging materials includ-  
35 ing secondary packaging.

36 It is necessary to recognize that most packaging have gas  
37 leakage and permeation depending on their type. In many  
38 cases, it is difficult to distinguish between leakage and per-  
39 meation for qualified packaging. Therefore, complete  
40 packaging is to prevent the ingress of microorganisms and  
41 to prevent the quality deterioration of the product due to the  
42 ingress/transfer of gas/other substances by conforming to  
43 the maximum allowable leakage limit of individual prepa-  
44 ration packaging, and the product should be ensured to  
45 meet physicochemical and microbiological specifications  
46 by data. The package integrity test methods include a phys-  
47 icochemical method to find leaks (leak test), a method to  
48 ensure that no leakage occurs by confirming the qualifica-  
49 tion of the sealed part of a package (seal quality test), and

50 a method to confirm a barrier property against microorgan-  
51 isms by biological methods (microbial challenge test). For  
52 sterile pharmaceutical products, package integrity is guar-  
53 anteed by any one or more of these tests.

54 The application of the leak test or seal quality test re-  
55 quires optimization according to the characteristics of the  
56 package of each preparation. In addition, validation is re-  
57 quired to demonstrate the detection limit, accuracy and pre-  
58 cision of the test method to be set.

#### 59 2.1.1. Leak test

60 The leak test guarantees ability to maintain the integrity  
61 of packaging by qualitatively detecting or quantitatively  
62 measuring holes or pathways, where leaks occur, by a phys-  
63 icochemical method. There are two types of leak tests;  
64 qualitative leak test and quantitative leak test.

65 Since the results of the qualitative leak test are accompa-  
66 nied by uncertainty, the test requires a large sample size and  
67 rigorous control of test conditions to obtain reliable results.  
68 The qualitative leak test is a useful mean to detect leaks,  
69 but is not suitable for the deterministic verification of pack-  
70 age integrity. On the other hand, it is an effective test to  
71 locate leak positions correctly.

72 The quantitative leak test is a test to evaluate quantita-  
73 tively the physicochemical changes accompanied with  
74 leaks and to obtain objective data to set a maximum allow-  
75 able leakage limit and control.

76 Examples of qualitative and quantitative leak test meth-  
77 ods are listed below. Other methods may be used according  
78 to a purpose.

79 < Qualitative leak test methods >

80 Liquid immersion test

81 Liquid leak test

82 Tracer liquid test (dye penetration test)

83 Sniffer method (helium leak test method 1)

84 < Quantitative leak test methods >

85 Sealed chamber method (pressure change leak test  
86 method 1)

87 Vacuum decay method (pressure change leak test  
88 method 2)

89 Pressure integration method (helium leak test method 2)

90 Vacuum chamber method (helium leak test method 3)

91 Immersion method (helium leak test method 4)

92 High-voltage leak test (pinhole test method)

93 Laser-based gas headspace analysis

#### 94 2.1.2. Seal quality test

95 The seal quality test is used to indirectly ensure ability to  
96 maintain package integrity by confirming that parameters  
97 related to the container seal or fitting are valid. Conducting  
98 the seal quality test set based on evidence is useful for the  
99 continuous understanding of the characteristics required for  
100 closure and maintaining package integrity. In addition to

101 examples shown as the seal quality test methods (Table 1),  
102 various methods are used.

### 103 **2.1.3. Microbial challenge test**

104 The microbial challenge test is a biological test to esti-  
105 mate qualitatively package integrity by using live microor-  
106 ganisms or microbial spores. The microbial challenge test  
107 is useful for acquiring the direct evidence of preventing mi-  
108 crobial ingress. Microbial ingress evaluated in the test in-  
109 cludes the passage through pathways by microbial growth  
110 or movement and the passive transport of microorganisms  
111 via liquid.

112 Conducting the microbiological test is effective when  
113 appropriate physicochemical leak test methods, which ob-  
114 tain the evidence of preventing microbial ingress, have not  
115 been established, or when the maximum allowable leakage  
116 limit depends on the possibility of microbial ingress.

117 The recommended general practices are as follows. For  
118 the test, microorganisms of which quality are controlled  
119 should be used. Other scientifically appropriate methods  
120 can also be used.

121 Put a fluid medium aseptically in the primary package of  
122 a preparation to be tested, and immerse the preparation in a  
123 bacterial solution of  $10^6$  CFU/ml for at least 30 minutes or  
124 more. Cultivate the preparation and confirm the presence  
125 or absence of turbidity in the medium.

## 126 **2.2. Package integrity and testing in the development 127 and manufacturing of preparations**

128 Selection of test methods according to the stage of the  
129 product lifecycle is important to ensure package integrity  
130 for sterile pharmaceutical products.

### 131 **2.2.1. Design of packaging**

132 In the packaging design of the product development  
133 stage, the maximum allowable leakage limit is required to  
134 be set based on evaluations of not only the risk of sterility  
135 failure due to microbial ingress but also the effect of vari-  
136 ous gases passing through the primary packaging on the  
137 quality. For the evaluation, it is desirable to use the quanti-  
138 tative leak test method that has been verified to be able to  
139 detect leaks that affect product quality.

140 Samples used for the evaluation should be prepared as-  
141 suming the worst case in design.

142 If influence of other than microorganisms can be ignored,  
143 the allowable leak limit to be controlled is set by consider-  
144 ing the risk of microbial ingress. This can be set by verify-  
145 ing by the microbial challenge test, or by proving that there  
146 is logically no ingress of microorganisms by leak tests. On  
147 the other hand, the allowable leakage limit should be set to  
148 control the passage of substances in addition to preventing  
149 microbial ingress for products that require to keep low  
150 headspace oxygen concentration to maintain the quality of  
151 preparations etc. Verification only by the qualitative micro-

152 bial challenge test should not be sufficient. Other qualita-  
153 tive tests are also valuable to obtain information appropri-  
154 ate for the purposes.

### 155 **2.2.2. Manufacturing of preparations**

156 Package integrity testing in the manufacturing of con-  
157 tent-filled products is important to prevent the release of  
158 incompletely packaged pharmaceuticals. Based on packag-  
159 ing defects recognized in the development stage and initial  
160 process validation, tests are established by leak tests, seal  
161 quality tests and appropriate combination of visual inspec-  
162 tions during manufacturing to obtain supplemental infor-  
163 mation.

164 Examples of leak tests used for package integrity evalu-  
165 ation of preparations in manufacturing processes include  
166 liquid immersion test, liquid leak test, tracer liquid test (dye  
167 ingress method), sealed chamber method (pressure change  
168 leak test method 1), vacuum decay method (Pressure  
169 change leak test method 2), high voltage leak test (pinhole  
170 test method), laser-based gas headspace analysis. Moreover,  
171 examples of the seal quality test methods are shown in Ta-  
172 ble 1.

173 Tests using a part of a production lot as a sample provide  
174 means to verify package integrity. In contrast, non-destructive  
175 leak tests for a whole production lot provide continu-  
176 ous and greater guarantees of package integrity. If rele-  
177 vance between seal quality test results and package integ-  
178 rity is verified in advance, the conduction of the seal quality  
179 test can indirectly ensure the package integrity. Manufac-  
180 turers are required to set the necessary number of samples  
181 and demonstrate its validity based on the results of statisti-  
182 cal process controls obtained in the process validation stage  
183 and the trend analysis of product quality after the start of  
184 production. For the glass or plastic ampoules that are sealed  
185 by sealing or welding the opening, nondestructive leak tests  
186 are usually performed with all samples.

187 The main purpose of package integrity testing in process  
188 validation is to obtain high quality product packaging in the  
189 process which is operated with no problem within operat-  
190 ing parameters set and to reduce sufficiently the incidence  
191 of serious packaging defects. Package integrity testing of  
192 in-process and final products complements complete pack-  
193 aging design, therefore cannot replace confirmation at ini-  
194 tial design, even if performed.

### 195 **2.2.3. Evaluation of package integrity in stability tests 196 and stability monitoring**

197 In order to assess the risk of new leaks generated during  
198 storage of pharmaceuticals, it is necessary to evaluate pack-  
199 age integrity as a part of a stability program. It is recom-  
200 mended that test methods with detection ability as close as  
201 possible to the maximum allowable leakage limit are used  
202 after the understanding of the mechanism and the rationale  
203 for ensuring no contamination.

204 The amount of sample required for the package integrity  
205 test in stability tests should be the amount that can achieve  
206 the purpose of the test in consideration of the past develop-  
207 ment and validation tests. If the test is a non-destructive test,  
208 the package integrity of a sample to be tested for prepara-  
209 tion stability can be inspected prior to the stability test.

210 In the case of applying a physicochemical leak test  
211 method or any other test method that can appropriately  
212 evaluate the ingress of microorganisms with a certain level,  
213 it can be substituted for a sterility test in stability tests. On  
214 the contrary, if only a sterility test is performed as a test to  
215 ensure package integrity over the shelf life of products in a  
216 stability program, it is necessary to provide the reason ac-  
217 counting that the sterility test alone is sufficient.

### 218 **2.3. Criteria for the selection of test methods**

219 The method of an individual leak test or seal quality test  
220 cannot cover all of the packaging of products. Depending  
221 on preparation packaging, multiple test methods may be re-  
222 quired during the product life cycle. Therefore, for ensuring  
223 package integrity, it is necessary to select appropriate test  
224 methods, set parameters, and verify that the selected test  
225 methods can be applied to the product. The following prod-  
226 uct properties are taken into consideration for the choice of  
227 test methods.

228 Contents of package: Physical state (liquid, solid), elec-  
229 trical conductivity of liquid, presence or absence and type  
230 of headspace gas, and compatibility with test materials/test  
231 conditions.

232 Package structure and physicochemical properties: Pack-  
233 age hardness, presence or absence of mobility, effect of  
234 volatiles added to a polymer, electrical conductivity and ca-  
235 pacitance of materials, and the amount of passed gas that is  
236 not a leak.

237 Impact on packaging and contents (destructive tests and  
238 non-destructive tests): For example, package integrity test-  
239 ing for ampoules, etc. requiring total inspection should be  
240 a nondestructive test that does not affect the quality of  
241 packaging and contents.

### 242 **2.4. Setting and verification of test methods**

243 The optimization of test conditions is required to ensure  
244 highly sensitive, accurate, robust, highly reproducible leak  
245 detection for individual product packaging systems to  
246 which leak or seal quality tests are applied. For the design  
247 and verification of test methods, the design of a package  
248 closure system, packaging materials, the nature of package  
249 leaks to be predicted, and the effect of the contents of prod-  
250 ucts on the test results should be taken into account, and  
251 positive controls (packages with intentional or known  
252 leaks) and negative controls (packages with no known leak)  
253 are used. For quantitative evaluation, it is necessary to  
254 make an opening with a certain diameter in consideration

255 of the type and structure of materials that compose pack-  
256 ages.

## 257 **3. Glossary**

258 The definitions of terms used in this General Information  
259 are as follows.

260 **Package integrity:** Package integrity is the ability of a  
261 package to prevent the loss of preparations, to prevent mi-  
262 croorganism ingress, and to limit entry of detrimental gases  
263 or other substances, thus ensuring that the product meets all  
264 necessary safety and quality standards. "Container closure  
265 system integrity" and "container integrity" mean "package  
266 integrity".

267 **Quantitative leak test method:** In the quantitative leak  
268 test method, the leak to be detected or measured is based  
269 on a phenomenon caused by a predictable series of events.  
270 Furthermore, the means of leak detection can be easily con-  
271 trolled and monitored, and is based on the physicochemical  
272 techniques that can obtain concrete quantitative data.

273 **Qualitative leak test method:** The qualitative leak test  
274 method is essentially probabilistic. Qualitative tests depend  
275 on a series of continuous or simultaneous events, each of  
276 which is accompanied by a random result represented by a  
277 probability distribution. Therefore, the results have uncer-  
278 tainty and require a large sample size and the rigorous con-  
279 trol of test conditions to obtain meaningful results.

280 **Leakage:** The transfer of liquid or gas through a breach in  
281 a package wall or through a gap between package materials.  
282 Leakage is expressed in the measure (in mass or volume  
283 units) of the flow rate of gas that pass through leakage path-  
284 ways under specified temperature and pressure conditions.  
285 The leak rate has the dimension of pressure multiplied by  
286 volume, divided by time. For example, the international  
287 standard SI nomenclature is pascal cubic meter per second  
288 ( $\text{Pa} \cdot \text{m}^3 \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$ ).

289 **Leak:** A leakage, or a hole, or a pathway where a leakage  
290 occurs.

291 **Permeation:** The passage of substances through a package  
292 walls. Permeation of gases, including water vapor, can usu-  
293 ally occur in the packaging of sterile pharmaceuticals. The  
294 "water vapor permeability test" applies to the permeation  
295 of water vapor in plastic containers (mainly aqueous injec-  
296 tion containers).

297 **Maximum allowable leakage limit:** A maximum leakage  
298 rate (or hole, or pathway size) allowable for a product pack-  
299 age that can assure no risk to product safety and no or neg-  
300 ligible impact on product stability.

301 **Positive and negative controls:** A positive control is a  
302 package having holes or pathways that cause known and  
303 intentional leaks. Positive controls are used to test large size  
304 defects (used during the development of test methods) and  
305 minimum size defects (used for the development of test  
306 methods and for validation studies), according to the type

307 of defects. Negative controls are packages having no hole  
308 or pathway that cause known leaks. The negative controls  
309 used in the development of leak test methods and validation  
310 studies represent packages optimally assembled using nor-  
311 mally processed packaging materials. Positive and negative  
312 controls should be able to be measured under same test con-  
313 ditions.

314

315

316

**Table 1** Examples of seal quality test methods

Name of seal quality test method	Packaging applied	Contents of test
Tensile strength test	Bag, blister pack, etc.	Measure force required to separate two bonded surfaces.
Closure (opening and closing) torque test	Packaging closed by screws	Measure torque required for opening or closing a plug.
Package burst test	Bag, blister pack, etc.	Apply pressure to a package seal to rupture and open, and measure the pressure or force at the rupture.
Residual seal force test	Vial, etc.	Push a cap downward at a constant speed from the top of a vial, and measure the repulsive force when the plot of the transfer distance - repulsive force reaches the inflection point. Non-destructive testing is possible.
Rubber closure depression test	Vial, etc.	Push a rubber closure downward at a constant rate from the top of a vial, and measure intensity to the depression.
Rotation resistance test of winding cap	Vial, etc.	Measure an initial resistance value when idling a cap. Similar to the residual seal strength test, it is possible to estimate the seal property due to the elastic force of rubber closures.
Airborne ultrasound method	Packaging joined by welding/crimping	Pass an ultrasonic signal through the seal area of a package or an article, and inspect the seal quality by measuring the signal strength. The ultrasonic energy of an area with a bad seal decreases compared with a suitable package seal. Non-destructive testing is possible.