

# Overview of HBD Activity 2003-2019

### Mitchell W. Krucoff, MD, FACC, FAHA, FSCAI



Professor, Medicine/Cardiology Duke University Medical Center Director, Cardiovascular Devices Unit Duke Clinical Research Institute





Ũ

Advance Publication by-J-STAGE Official Journal of the Japanese Circulation Society http://www.j-circ.or.ip

### Global Cardiovascular Device Innovation: Japan-USA Synergies

 Harmonization by Doing (HBD) Program, a Consortium of Regulatory Agencies, Medical Device Industry, and Academic Institutions –

Takahiro Uchida, MD; Fumiaki Ikeno, MD; Koji Ikeda, PhD; Yuka Suzuki, PhD; Koji Todaka, MD; Hiroyoshi Yokoi, MD; Gary Thompson, BSc; Mitchel Krucoff, MD; Shigeru Saito, MD on behalf of the Harmonization by Doing Program Working Group

**Background:** Global medical devices have become more popular, but investment money for medical device development is not easily available in the market. Worldwide health-care budget constraints mean that efficient medical device development has become essential. To achieve efficient development, globalization is a key to success. Spending large amounts of money in different regions for medical device development is no longer feasible.

Methods and Results: In order to streamline processes of global medical device development, an academic, governmental, and industrial consortium, called the Harmonization by Doing program, has been set up. The program has been operating between Japan and the USA since 2003. The program has 4 working groups: (1) Global Cardiovascular Device Trials; (2) Study on Post-Market Registry; (3) Clinical Trials; and (4) Infrastructure and Methodology Regulatory Convergence and Communication. Each working group has as its goals the achievement of speedy and efficient medical device development in Japan and the USA. The program has held multiple international meetings to deal with obstacles against efficient medical device development.

Inc.1/003\_and Results: In order to streamine processes of global modeal device development, an academic, portmental, and industrial consortium, called the Harmonization by Dong program, has been set up. The program has been operating between Japan and the USA since 2003. The program has 4 working groups: (1) Global Cardiovascular Device Trials; (2) Study on Post-Market Registry; (3) Clinical Trials; and (4) Infrastructure and Methody orgy Regulatory Convergence and Communication. Each working group has as its goals the achievament of speedy indexted free to USA. The program has held multiple international operation in Japan and the USA. The program has held multiple international needing to deal with obstacles against efficient medical device development.

DUKE UNIVERSITY MEDICAL CENTER

## HBD Program History



Uchida T et al, Circulation Journal 2013

### September 2003 The Era of Global Regulatory Harmonization

### TCT 2003: 15th Annual Transcatheter Cardiovascular Therapeutics

September 15 - 19, 2003; Washington, DC







# M

The Maureen and Mike Mansfield Foundation Promoting Understanding and Cooperation in U.S.-Asia Relations since 1983

#### **Program Overview**

The Mansfield Fellowship Program—named after Mike Mansfield, former U.S. Ambassador to Japan, Senate Majority Leader, U.S. Senator and U.S. Congressman from Montana—is a first-of-its-kind program for both the United States and Japan. The two-year Fellowships enable U.S. federal government employees to develop an in-depth understanding of Japan, learn how its government works, and establish relationships with their counterparts in the government of Japan as well as in the business, professional and academic communities.







### December 2003



EDICAL CENTER

# Pharmaceuticals and Medical Devices Agency, Japan 2003-2004: Japan MHLW launches PMDA





### April 2004: PMDA Adopts Early Consultation





### **HBD Foundational Principles**

- 1) Balanced stakeholder leadership/participation
- 2) Pre-competitive collaboration, dialogue & trust
- 3) "Small steps to big changes"
- 4) Emphasis on "doing" (POC projects & deliverables)
- 5) Barrier elimination supporting global regulatory harmonization pathways







### **Proof Of Concept (POC) Projects**





# **HBD POC's**

### **Educational Programs & Thinktanks**





第68回 日本観測部学会総会・学術集会

### Global Regulatory Harmonization and Medical Devices Clinical Trials:

Impact to Cardiology in Japan and Worldwide

#### 日時: 平成16年3月27日(土)午後8:30~午後8:30 会場: 東京国際フォーラム 第15会場(G-610 ガラス株 6月)

Course Directors

Bram Zuckerman, MD US Food and Drug Administration, Center for Devices and Radiological Health

Naoyuki Yasuda Minutury of Health, Labour and Welfare, Pharmaceutical and Food Safety Bureau

Shigeru Saito, MD Shonan Kamakura General Hospital

Mitchell W. Krucoff, MD Duke Clinical Research Institute. Interventional Device Dials

Part Regulatory Harmonization and Cardiology in Japan Moderators Bram Zuckerman, MD & Mitchell W. Krucoff, MD

> Importance of Global Standards for Human Experimentation Presenter: Naoyuki Yasuda

- 2 Importance of Japanese Global Leadership in Trials Presenter: Shigeru Saito, MD
- 3 Importance of Harmonization and Japan: Industry Viewpoint Preventer: Michael Gropp; duidant Corporation

4 Research Infrastructure in Japan Presenter: Kazuhiro Sase, MD, PhD, National Caralovarcular Center

2004-2019:

#### Moderators Nacyuk

From Physician to Presenter Mitchell

- 2 Poolability of Dat
- 3 Ethical Considera

4 From Harmonizati

#### 

From "Japan-USA Barriers"

to "Japan-USA Synergies"



### Japan Circulatory Society *March 2004* Tokyo, Japan

S, CIT,

**PS** 

R

ned,



### December 2004: Kamakura Public Forum

### Attention to the Patient's Perspective

NPO International TRI Network



### 特定非営利活動法人 国際TRIネットワーク 2005年市民公開講座

### The 12th Kamakura Live Demonstrat



### Medical Technology Leadership Forum Washington D.C.

**April 2005** 

### Mitch Krucoff Duke/DCRI

JKE UNIVERSITY



Haroshi Yamaroto Collector Unders Donard Donard Collector Database Donard Database Collector Database Database Collector Database Database

### Hiroshi Yamamoto MHLW

Dan Schultz U.S. FDA





#### 11TH CONFERENCE OF THE GLOBAL HARMONIZATION TASK FORCE



### Duke Clinical Research Institute

### **October 2007**

### Tomiko Tawaragi MHLW

# **HBD POC's**

### Harmonized Regulatory Processes





### **Regulatory Convergence: Ethics, Methods and Science of Human Studies**

© 2013 by the Regulatory Atta

The reprint is provided to you

This article may not be used or dis

### REGULATORY MANAGER



Comparing GCP R Trials in the US ar

By Harmonization-by-Doing W

#### Introduction

The convergence of US and Japar device regulations and practices opportunity to accelerate deliver tive medical devices to patients it medical treatment. Reciprocal acc Good Clinical Practices (GCPs) w multinational studies and promo-

Regulatory Focus, April 2010



GCP Convergence Improves Transportability of Medical Device Clinical Data

rofit wi

#### Ry Harmonization-by-Doing Working Group 4

The safety, performance and effectiveness of medical devices are often evaluated by well-controlled clinical investigations before marketing authorization. The integrity of these clinical studies is ensured by compliance with voluntary standards or government regulations known as Good Clinical Practices (GCPs). Four GCPs are most applicable to US and Japanese marketing approvals: US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) regula tions and guidance, Japanese GCP ordinances and notifications, ISO14155:2011 Clinical Investigation of Medical Devices for Human Subjects-Good Clinical Practice<sup>1</sup> and ICH E6 (R1) Guideline for Good Clinical Practice.<sup>2</sup>

ty (RAPS). Reprinted from Regula

at the written pe esy copy with permission

Consistency among GCPs is very important to allow data from a clinical investigation conducted in one country to be used for regulatory marketing approval in another country (this is called data transportability). Consistency also may reduce the need for duplicative GCP audits of sponsors, IRBs and Investigational sites by different authorities. However, the various GCPs are not identical, which in some cases may impede acceptance of foreign clinical investigation data. Both standards and regulations are evolving and recent revisions further affect consistency among GCPs and the transportability of clinical data

Regulatory Focus, January 2013



# **HBD POC's**

### **Post-market Surveillance Registries**





### Linking Post-Market Surveillance: LVADS





n and Research. All rights reserved.

٠



JACC

JOURNAL of the AMERICAN COLLEGE of CARDIOLOGY

J Am Coll Cardiol, 2010; 56:738-740, doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2010.05.021 © 2010 by the American College of Cardiology Foundation

INTERMACS (Interagency Registry for Mechanically Assisted Circulatory Support): A New Paradigm for Translating Registry Data Into Clinical Practice

Marissa A. Miller, Karen Ulisney, and J. Timothy Baldwin





JMACS

# **HBD POC's**

### **Global Clinical Trials**





### 2005: Endeavor Japan (Medtronic)



Including MOLECULAR INTERVENTIONS

The clinical evaluation of the Endeavor zotarolimus-eluting coronary stent in Japanese patients with de novo native coronary artery lesions: primary results and 3-year follow-up of the Endeavor Japan study

Shigeru Saito , Ross Prpic, Jeffery J. Popma, John Alexander, Mitchell W. Krucoff, on behalf of the ENDEAVOR Japan Investigators

Cardiovascular Revascularization Medicine Volume 12, Issue 5, Pages 273–279, September–October, 2011

- Identical inclusion/exclusion
- Identical endpoints
- Identical core laboratories
- Enhanced poolability
- Enhanced interpretability







### 2007: SPIRIT III Japan (Abbott Vascular): Enhanced poolability & interpretability



Mid-Term Results of Everolimus-Eluting Stent in a Japanese Population Compared With a US Randomized Cohort: SPIRIT III Japan Registry With Harmonization by Doing

Wednesday, 08/29/12 | 9993 reads

#### Author(s):

Shigeru Saito, MD<sup>1</sup>, Shigeru Nakamura, MD<sup>2</sup>, Kenshi Fujii, MD<sup>3</sup>, Masato Nakamura, MD<sup>4</sup>, Takaaki Isshiki, MD<sup>5</sup>, Haruo Hirayama, MD<sup>6</sup>, Tadashi Kikuchi, MD, PhD<sup>7</sup>, Hiroshi Fujita, MD<sup>8</sup>, Hiroshi Nonogi, MD, PhD<sup>9</sup>, Kazuaki Mitsudo, MD<sup>10</sup>, Takeshi Kimura, MD<sup>11</sup>, Keiichi Igarashi, MD<sup>12</sup>, Kumiko Saito, MS, MPH<sup>13</sup>, Alexandra J. Lansky, MD<sup>14</sup>, Gregg W. Stone, MD<sup>14</sup>, Yasuhiro Honda, MD<sup>15</sup>, Katsuhisa Waseda, MD, PhD<sup>16</sup>, Peter J. Fitzgerald, MD, PhD<sup>15</sup>, Krishnankutty Sudhir, MD, PhD<sup>16</sup>

Issue Number: Volume 24 - Issue 9 - September 2012

- Concomitant enrollment
- Identical inclusion/exclusion
- Identical endpoints
- Identical core laboratories







### 2009: Zilver PTX (Cook Medical) Single protocol global RCT



### Zilver® PTX® Drug-Eluting Peripheral Stent - P100022

This is a brief overview of information related to FDA's approval to market this product. See the links below to the Summary of Safety and Effectiveness Data (SSED) and product labeling for more complete information on this product, its indications for use, and the basis for FDA's approval.

Product Name: Zilver® PTX Drug-Eluting Peripheral Stent PMA Applicant: Cook, Inc. Address: 750 Daniels Way, P.O. Box 489, Bloomington, IN 47402-0489 Approval Date: November 14, 2012 Approval Letter: http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh\_docs/pdf10/p100022a.pdf





DUKE UNIVERSITY MEDICAL CENTER



### HARMONEE Study: Coronary DES Japan-USA RCT



CLINICAL RESEARCH Interventional cardiology

Japan-United States of America Harmonized Assessment by Randomized Multicentre Study of OrbusNEich's Combo StEnt (Japan-USA HARMONEE) study: primary results of the pivotal registration study of combined endothelial progenitor cell capture and drug-eluting stent in patients with ischaemic coronary disease and non-ST-elevation acute coronary syndrome

Shigeru Saito<sup>1</sup>, Mitchell W. Krucoff<sup>2</sup>\*, Shigeru Nakamura<sup>3</sup>, Roxana Mehran<sup>4</sup>, Akiko Maehara<sup>5</sup>, Hussein R. Al-Khalidi<sup>2</sup>, Stephen M. Rowland<sup>6</sup>, Gudaye Tasissa<sup>2</sup>, Debbie Morrell<sup>6</sup>, Diane Joseph<sup>2</sup>, Yumiko Okaniwa<sup>7</sup>, Yoshisato Shibata<sup>8</sup>, Barry D. Bertolet<sup>9</sup>, Mark D. Rothenberg<sup>10</sup>, Philippe Généreux<sup>11</sup>, Hiram Bezerra<sup>12</sup>, and David F. Kong<sup>2</sup>

Shigeru Saito<sup>1</sup>, Mitchell W. Krucoff<sup>26</sup>, Shigeru Nakamura<sup>3</sup>, Roxana Mehran<sup>4</sup>, Akiko Maehara<sup>5</sup>, Hussein R. Al-Khalidi<sup>2</sup>, Stephen M. Rowland<sup>6</sup>, Gudaye Tasisa<sup>2</sup>, Debbie Morrell<sup>6</sup>, Diane Joseph<sup>2</sup>, Yumiko Okaniwa<sup>7</sup>, Yoshisato Shibata<sup>8</sup>, Barry D. Bertolet<sup>9</sup>, Mark D. Rothenberg<sup>10</sup>, Philippe Généreux<sup>11</sup>, Hiram Bezerra<sup>12</sup>, and David F. Kong<sup>2</sup> Rationale and design of the Japan-USA harmonized assessment by randomized, multicenter study of OrbusNEich's combo StEnt (Japan-USA HARMONEE): Assessment of a novel DES platform for percutaneous coronary revascularization in patients with ischemic coronary disease and non–ST-elevation acute coronary syndrome

David F. Kong, MD, Shigeru Saito, MD, Shigeru Nakamura, MD, Roxana Mehran, MD, Stephen M. Rowland, PhD, Allison Handler, MHSc, Hussein R. Al-Khalidi, PhD, and Mitchell W. Krucoff, MD Durbam, NC; Kamakura, Sapporo, Kyoto, Japan; New York, NY; and Fort Lauderdale, FL



### The COMBO Plus Dual Therapy Stent

Kong DF et al Am Heart J 2017;187:112-121

Saito S, Krucoff MW et al. European Heart Journal (2018) 0, 1–9 doi:10.1093/eurheartj/ehy275



HARMONEE



CrossMark

### COAST Study (CSI)



Diamondback 360<sup>®</sup> Coronary OAS Micro Crown

- To evaluate the performance of the Coronary OAS Micro Crown in treating *de novo*, severely calcified coronary lesions
  - Prospective, single-arm, multi-center Investigational Device Exemption (IDE) study conducted in Japan and the USA
  - Harmonization by Doing (regulatory collaboration between Japan and the USA)





# **HBD POC's**

### **Present Into Future Directions**







"Harmonization By Data":

- Consensus definitions
- Minimum core data sets
- Data quality
- Data structure & interoperability





### The Academic Research Consortium (ARC): Pragmatic consensus definitions for device evaluation

JACC: CARDIOVASCULAR INTERVENTIONS © 2011 BY THE AMERICAN COLLEGE OF CARDIOLOGY FOUNDATION PUBLISHED BY ELSEVIER INC.

VOL. 4, NO. 5, 2011 ISSN 1936-8798/\$36.00 001: 10.1016/j.jcin.2011.03.008

ACC INTERVENTIONAL SCIENTIFIC COUNCIL: NEWS AND VIEWS

#### The Academic Research Consortium **Governance Charter**

Mitchell W. Krucoff, MD,\* Roxana Mehran, MD,† Gerrit-Anne van Es, PHD,‡ Ashley B. Boam, MSBE,§ Donald E. Cutlip, MD

Durham, North Carolina; New York, New York; Rotterdam, the Netherlands; Silver Spring, Maryland; and Boston, Massachusetts

#### THE PRESENT AND FUTURE

Evaluation of new medical devices and demonstration of their conformity to essential principles of CLINICAL STATEMENTS

safety and effectiveness frequently require clinical Clinical Trial Design Principles and Endpoint Definitions for Transcatheter Mitral Valve Repair and Replacement: Part 1: Clinical Trial Design Principles A Consensus Document From the Mitral Valve Academic Research Consortium

HE W. Stone, MD, 1 Alec S. Vahanian, MD, 7 David H. Adams, MD, 1 William T. Abraham, MD, ieffrey S. Borer, MD, J. Jeroen J. Bax, MD, PuD, # Joachim Schofer, MD, \*\* Donald E. Cutlip, MD, 17 Brey S. Borer, MLY, PEDER J. REC. MLI, PHD. S FORCERS CONFERS, ML, LANDAU S. LANDAU, MARKING, MARK, MD, 47 ( Intella) W. KRUCOff, MD, 17 Engene H. Blackstone, MD, 19 Philippe Généreux, MD, 411 Michael J. Mack, MD, 47 ( Marking Science) (MD, 17 Conference) (MD, 19 Conference) (MD, 1 bert J. Siegel, MD, an Paul A. Grayburn, MD, 11 Maurice Enriquez-Sarano, MD, tio Lancellotti, MD, PuD, III Gensimos Filippatos, MD, 111 Arie Pieter Kappetein, MD, PuD, Mitral Valve Academic Research Consortium (MVARC)

#### Special Report

#### Standardized Bleeding Definitions for Cardiovascular **Clinical Trials** A Consensus Report From the Bleeding Academic Research Consortium

A. Constantial Marking, M.D. Sunil V. Ras, MD: Deepste L. Bhait, MD, MPH: C. Michael Gibson, MS, MD: Adriano Catxeta, MD, PhD: John Eikerheim, MD: MD, MBN: Sanjay Kauf, MD: Wighten D, Wrisch, MD: Youn Monson, MD: MD, MBN: Sanjay Kauf, MD: Wighten D, Wrisch, MD: Youn Monson, MD: MD, MBN: Sanjay Kauf, MD, PhD: PhD: Marco Valgimigli, MD, PhD: PhD: PhD: PhD: David Taggar, MD, PhD: Marco Valgimigli, MD, PhD: PhD: W, ME MD, MD, MD, MD, MD, MD, MARCO Valgimigli, MD, PhD: PhD: W, MD, E. Magnus Ohman, MD: Philippe Gabriel Stog, MD: Harvey White, MB, ChB, DSc.

A dva nees in antikhrenkbetik: therapy, along with an early version any how relative the insidence of recursent years and the second second second second second (ACS) metables and ST-sequence sequences - obvision information (MI), and ST-sequences of the second second balances of multiple pharmacotherapies, in-so combinations of multiple pharmacotherapies, in-st instances of multiple pharmacotherapies, in-differences (MI), and ST-sequences phase phases with the second distances proceederes, has seen second second of task of blocking. ling.

Editorial sec p 2664 ions have I adverse outcomes death, in patients

therapies.<sup>10</sup> Unlike ischemic clinical events (i death, MI, stent thrombosis), for which there is n consensus on end-point definitions.<sup>16,17</sup> there is beterogenetized anong the many bleeding definition in use, Lack of standardization makes it difficult or manufactures. organize key clinical tri even more difficult to it different andardization makes it ical trial processes such various terr used for bleeding ms used to describe major, life-threatent



Martial Hamon, MD; Mitchell W. Krucoff, MD; Patrick W. Serruys, MD; on behalf of the Academic

Background—Although most clinical trials of coronary stents have measured nominally identical safety and effectiveness end points, differences in definitions and timing of assessment have created confusion in interpretation. thad and Bentle-The Academic Research Consortium is an informal collaboration between academic led States and Europe. Two meetings, in Washington, DC, in January 2006 and

European Heart Journal (2015) 36, 1-27 CURRENT OPINION

Coronary ۲

- Bleeding •
- **Aortic valve** ۲
- Mitral valve
- Neurologic •

Clinical trial design principles and endpoint definitions for transcatheter mitral valve repair and replacement: part 1: clinical trial design principles

A consensus document from the mitral valve academic research consortium

Gregg W. Stone<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Alec S. Vahanian<sup>3</sup>, David H. Adams<sup>4</sup>, William T. Abraham<sup>5</sup>, leffrey S. Borer<sup>4</sup>, Jeroen J. Bax<sup>7</sup>, Joachim Schofer<sup>8</sup>, Donald E. Cutlip<sup>9</sup>, Mitchell W. Krucoff<sup>10</sup>, Eugene H. Blackstone<sup>11</sup>, Philippe Généreux<sup>1,2,12</sup> Michael J. Mack<sup>17</sup>, Robert J. Siegel<sup>14</sup>, Paul A. Grayburn<sup>13</sup>, Maurice Enriquez-Sarano<sup>15</sup> patrizio Lancellotti<sup>16</sup>, Gerasimos Filippatos<sup>17</sup>, and Arie Pieter Kappetein<sup>18</sup>, for the ral Valve Academic Research Consortium (MVARC)



CLINICAL RESEARC Valvular medi

Standardized endpoint definitions for transcatheter aortic valve implantation clinical trials: a consensus report from the Valve Academic Research Consortium

Martin B. Leon\*, Nicolo Piazza, Eugenia Nikolsky, Eugene H. Blackstone, onald E. Cutlip, Arie Pieter Kappetein, Mitchell W. Krucoff, Michael Mack, Noxana Mehran, Craig Miller, Marie-angèle Morel, John Petersen, Jeffrey J. Popma, ohanna J.M. Takkenberg, Alec Vahanian, Gerrit-Anne van Es, Pascal Vranckx, ohn G. Webb, Stephan Windecker, and Patrick W. Serruys

revised 30 September 2010; accetterd & Grouper 30



Duke Clinical Research Institute DUKE UNIVERSITY MEDICAL CENTER

### **Peripheral ARC (PARC)**

#### THE PRESENT AND FUTURE

STATE-OF-THE-ART REVIEW

### Evaluation and Treatment of Patients With Lower Extremity Peripheral Artery Disease



Consensus Definitions From Peripheral Academic Research Consortium (PARC)

Manesh R. Patel, MD,\* Michael S. Conte, MD,† Donald E. Cutlip, MD,†§ Nabil Dib, MD,|| Patrick Geraghty, MD,¶ William Gray, MD,#\*\* William R. Hiatt, MD,†† Mami Ho, MD, PHD,† Koji Ikeda, PHD,§§ Fumiaki Ikeno, MD,||| Michael R. Jaff, DO,¶¶ W. Schuyler Jones, MD,<sup>\*</sup> Masayuki Kawahara, MD,‡ Robert A. Lookstein, MD,## Roxana Mehran, MD,# ## Sanjay Misra, MD,\*\*\* Lars Norgren, MD,††† Jeffrey W. Olin, MD,## Thomas J. Povsic, MD, PHD,\* Kenneth Rosenfield, MD,‡†‡ John Rundback, MD,§§§ Fadi Shamoun, MD,||||| James Tcheng, MD,\* Thomas T. Tsai, MD,¶¶¶ Yuka Suzuki, PHD,‡## Pascal Vranckx, MD,\*\*\*\* Bret N. Wiechmann, MD,†††† Christopher J. White, MD,‡†‡† Hiroyoshi Yokoi, MD,§§§ Mitchell W. Krucoff, MD\*

#### ABSTRACT

The lack of consistent definitions and nomenclature across clinical trials of novel devices, drugs, or biologics poses a significant barrier to accrual of knowledge in and across peripheral artery disease therapies and technologies. Recognizing this problem, the Peripheral Academic Research Consortium, together with the U.S. Food and Drug Administration

Duke Clinical Research Institute Patel MR et al, JACC 2015: 65:931-41

### rculation

#### WHITE PAPER

#### **Defining High Bleeding Risk in Patients Undergoing Percutaneous Coronary Intervention**

A Consensus Document From the Academic Research **Consortium for High Bleeding Risk** 

**ABSTRACT:** Identification and management of patients at risk undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention are o importance, but a lack of standardization in defining this limits trial design, data interpretation, and clinical decision The Academic Research Consortium for High Bleeding Ris is a collaboration among leading research organizations, r authorities, and physician-scientists from the United State: Europe focusing on percutaneous coronary intervention-re-Two meetings of the 31-member consortium were held in DC, in April 2018 and in Paris, France, in October 2018. T were organized by the Cardiovascular European Research behalf of the ARC-HBR group and included representative Food and Drug Administration and the Japanese Pharmac Medical Devices Agency, as well as observers from the pha and medical device industries. A consensus definition of p bleeding risk was developed that was based on review of evidence. The definition is intended to provide consistency population for clinical trials and to complement clinical de and regulatory review. The proposed ARC-HBR consensus represents the first pragmatic approach to a consistent de high bleeding risk in clinical trials evaluating the safety and of devices and drug regimens for patients undergoing per coronary intervention.

coronary intervention.

of devices and drug regimens for patients undergoing per high bleeding risk in clinical trials evaluating the safety and



European Heart Journal (2019) 0, 1-22 European Society doi:10.1093/eurheartj/ehz372 of Cardiology

**CURRENT OPINION** 

Defining high bleeding risk in patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention: a consensus document from the **Academic Research Consortium for High Bleeding Risk** 

Philip Urban<sup>1,2</sup>\*, Roxana Mehran<sup>3</sup>, Roisin Colleran<sup>4</sup>, Dominick J. Angiolillo<sup>5</sup>, Robert A. Byrne<sup>4</sup>, Davide Capodanno<sup>6,7</sup>, Thomas Cuisset<sup>8</sup>, Donald Cutlip<sup>9</sup>, Pedro Eerdmans<sup>10</sup>, John Eikelboom<sup>11</sup> Andrew Farb<sup>12</sup>, C. Michael Gibson<sup>13,14</sup> John Gregson<sup>15</sup> Michael Haude<sup>16</sup> Stefan K. James<sup>17</sup>, Hyo-Soo Kim<sup>18</sup>, Takeshi Kimura<sup>1</sup>, Akihi<del>de Kenishi<sup>0</sup></del> John Laschinger<sup>12</sup>, Martin B. Leon<sup>21,22</sup>, P.F. Adrian Magee<sup>12</sup>, Yoshiaki Mitsutake<sup>20</sup> Darren Mylotte<sup>23</sup>, Stuart Pocock<sup>15</sup>, Matthew J. Price<sup>24</sup>, Sunit V. Rao<sup>25</sup> Ernest Spitzer<sup>26,27</sup>, Norman Stockbridge<sup>12</sup>, Marco Valgimigli<sup>28</sup>, Olivier Varenne<sup>29,30</sup>, Ute Windhoevel<sup>2</sup>, Robert W. Yeh<sup>31</sup>, Mitchell W. Krucoff<sup>25,32</sup>, Marie-Claude Morice<sup>2</sup>



By and For you is intended to provide o

Mitchell W. Krucoff<sup>25,32</sup>, Marie-Claude Morice<sup>2</sup> Olivier Var bckoufine Colu Windhoevel2, Robert W. Yeh31, Ernest Spitzer<sup>26,27</sup>, Norman Stockbridge<sup>12</sup>, Marco Valgimigli<sup>26</sup> Darren Mylotte", Stuart Pocock", Matthew J. Price", Sunil V. Kac

### **Real World Evidence:** Data Structure, Quality & Capture Coordinated Registry Networks (CRNs) & NEST

VIEWPOINT

Mitchell W. Krucoff

Department of

Medicine, Duke

**UniversityMedical** 

Center, Durham,

Art Sedrakyan, MD,

MDEpiNet Science and Infrastructure Center,

Weill Cornell Medical

College New York

Sharon-Lise T.

Normand, PhD

and MDEpiNet

Harvard Medical

School, Boston,

Massachusetts

Harvard T. H. Chan

School of Public Health,

Boston, Massachusetts:

Methodology Center,

New York

North Carolina

MD **Division of Cardiology**,

PhD

Opinion

#### VIEWPOINT

#### Need for a National Evaluation System for Health Technology

Jeffrey Shuren, MD. JD US Food and Drug Administration. Silver Spring, Maryland.

Robert M. Califf, MD US Food and Drug Administration, Silver Spring, Maryland Federal regulatory frameworks governing medical products are designed to (1) provide evidence that a product benefits patients when used as intended and should be available despite accompanying risks and (2) ensure timely access to needed therapies and diagnostics. Historically, policy makers and product developers have viewed these objectives as being in tension. However, ensuring safety, expediting patient access, and enabling innovation can be complementary goals within a regulatory framework for medical devices.

"reasonable assurance of safety and effectiveness" (RASE).<sup>1</sup>Generally, clinical studies must be conducted to demonstrate RASE for both high-risk and innovative lower-risk devices and US patients and clinicians have greater assurances that the benefits of devices outweigh the potential risks. In contrast, other countries apply a standard of safety and performance with limited

allow reliable risk estimation. Safety issues are therefore often not identified until many patients have been exposed to risks, leading to greater potential for avoidable harm as well as greater liability and loss of consumer confidence in the manufacturer. Spontaneous reporting is not systematic and can be biased by extraneous factors such as news reports. Other safety issues also depend on companies appropriately assimilating and reporting data.

However, a strategic approach to linking and using clinically based data sources, such as registries, elec-The US standard for marketing a medical device is tronic health records (EHRs), and claims data, could potentially reduce the burdens of obtaining appropriate evidence across the life cycle of a device. By leveraging clinical data and applying advanced analytics and flexible regulatory approaches tailored to the unique data needs and innovation cycles of specific device types, a more comprehensive and accurate framework could be created for assessing the risks and benefits of devices.

#### JAMA Published online July 11, 2016

#### Bridging Unmet Medical Device Ecosystem Needs With Strategically Coordinated Registries Networks

In June 2014, the Medical Device Epidemiology Network (MDEpiNet) Public Private Partnership,<sup>1</sup> on behalf of the US Food and Drug Administration Center for Devices and Radiologic Health (CDRH), convened the Medical Device Registries Task Force (MDRTF) (see eAppendix in the Supplement). The task force was launched to address the CDRH's commitments<sup>2,3</sup> to strengthen the medical device postmarket surveillance system using existing resources and under current authorities and to develop an integrated system that efficiently and effectively achieves its basic functions, from timely identification of postmarket signals to facilitating premarket device clearance and approval. The MDRTF included broad stakeholder representa-

tion and was mandated to examine the objectives and logistics of leveraging existing electronic registries and information repositories in support of a national system. This work was done in parallel with efforts at the Engelberg Center at the Brookings Institution, which in 2015 reported recommendations from their planning board for a "national medical device surveillance system." These recommendations depicted a system that "supports optimal patient care by leveraging the experiences of pa-

The MDRTF recognized that most existing registries, electronic health records (EHRs), and data sources do not contain all the elements necessary for device evaluations, including device and procedural details, patient descriptors, or long-term outcomes. However, the MDRTF recognized that such limitations could be mitigated through interoperability solutions that strategically link complementary registries and data sources to produce networks for which the data composite could support robust device evaluation. The MDRTF termed this structure the strategically coordinated registries network, or CRN-with the recognition that many kev elements in such networks (such as EHRs, administrative claims data, or mobile device outputs) are not registries per se. The MDRTF recommends strategic CRNs as the foundational architectural construct for the national system that will augment national registry development and unique device identifier implementation rather than replace them.

The proposed CRN structure could provide novel, important attributes to the national system. Creation of CRNs could encourage efficient "dual-purpose" leveraging of existing registries, EHRs, administrative data resources, and lessons learned from existing linked-registry models such the Transcatheter Value Theran &

Krucoff MW, Normand SL et al, JAMA 2015







#### National Evaluation System for health Technology Coordinating Center (NESTcc) Selects Medical Device Real-World Evidence Demonstration Projects

#### February 2, 2018

#### Projects mainly focused on Pre-Market requirements:

Projects support NESTcc's mission to establish fu

Arlington, VA – (January 29, 2018) – The National Eve selected eleven demonstration projects for their pote real-world evidence (RWE) generation across the medic explores diverse aspects of evidence generation metho healthcare systems, device types, and manufacturers. Lung-RADS Assist: Artificial Intelligence Model Verification Reporting and Monitoring

- Registry Assessment of Peripheral Interventional Devices (RAPID) Superficial femoral and Popliteal Evidence Development (SPEED) as first device evaluation project
- SAFE STEMI for Seniors: An International CRN-based Prospective Randomized IDE Study of Labelling for Diagnostic and Therapeutic Devices Used in Seniors Suffering Heart Attack

#### Projects mainly focused on Post-Market requirements (including Post-Approval Studies):

- Developing and Implementing Sustainable Real-World Evidence Infrastructure for in vitro Diagnostics (IVDs) Through Systemic
  Harmonization and Interoperability for Enhancement of Laboratory Data (SHIELD)
- Electrophysiology Predictable and Sustainable Implementation of National Registries (EP PASSION)
- · Feasibility Study to Evaluate the use of mHealth as Data Source in Post-Market Surveillance
- · Post-Market Medical Device Surveillance with a Novel mHealth Platform
- Use of EHR-Based Data Network to Support Evidence Generation Across the Total Product Life Cycle (TPLC)
- Use of linked implantable device/Medicare data to assess association between device diagnostics and patient outcomes

#### Projects mainly focused on Surveillance:

- ICD Registry DELTA Active Surveillance Pilot Study
- Vascular Implant Surveillance and Interventional Outcomes Network (VISION)

#### https://nestcc.org/demo-announcement/







### Registry Assessment of Peripheral Interventional Devices (RAPID): Core Minimum Data Set for Device Evaluation

Jack L. Cronenwett, M.D. Dartmouth-Hitchcock Medical Center

Pablo Morales, M.D. U.S. FDA

Robert Thatcher CEO 4C Medical



Mitchell W. Krucoff, M.D. Duke University Medical Center/Duke Clinical Research Institute

### RAPID Minimum Core Elements for PAD Devices: Trans-Pacific Co-publication



REVIEW



Circ J 2018; 82: 316-322 doi:10.1253/circj.CJ-17-1156

#### Registry Assessment of Peripheral Interventional Devices (RAPID)

 Registry Assessment of Peripheral Interventional Devices Core Data Elements –

W. Schuyler Jones, MD; Mitchell W. Krucoff, MD; Pablo Morales, MD; Rebecca W. Wilgus, RN, MSN; Anne H. Heath, BA; Mary F. Williams, BS; James E. Tcheng, MD; J. Danica Marinac-Dabic, MD, PhD; Misti L. Malone, PhD;
Terrie L. Reed, MS; Rie Fukaya, MMedSc; Robert Lookstein, MD; Nobuhiro Handa, MD; Herbert D. Aronow, MD, MPH; Daniel J. Bertges, MD; Michael R. Jaff, DO; Thomas T. Tsai, MD, MSc; Joshua A. Smale, BS; Margo J. Zaugg, BSN; Robert J. Thatcher, MBA; Jack L. Cronenwett, MD; Durham, NC; Silver Spring, Md;
Tokyo, Japan; New York, NY; Providence, RI; Burlington, Vt; Newton, Mas; Denver, Colo; Tempe, Ariz; Santa Clara, Calif; Minneapolis, Minn; Lebanon, NH

**Background:** The current state of evaluating patients with peripheral artery disease and more specifically of evaluating medical devices used for peripheral vascular intervention (PVI) remains challenging because of the heterogeneity of the disease process, the multiple physician specialties that perform PVI, the multitude of devices available to treat peripheral artery disease, and the lack of consensus about the best treatment approaches. Because PVI core data elements are not standardized across clinical care, clinical trials, and registries, aggregation of data across different data sources and physician specialties is currently not feasible.

Jones WS, Krucoff MW et al, Circ J 2018: 82:316-22

#### SPECIAL COMMUNICATIONS

#### Registry Assessment of Peripheral Interventional Devices (RAPID): Registry assessment of peripheral interventional devices core data elements

W. Schuyler Jones, MD,<sup>a</sup> Mitchell W. Krucoff, MD,<sup>a</sup> Pablo Morales, MD,<sup>b</sup> Rebecca W. Wilgus, RN, MSN,<sup>a</sup> Anne H. Heath, BA,<sup>a</sup> Mary F. Williams, BS,<sup>a</sup> James E. Tcheng, MD,<sup>a</sup> J. Danica Marinac-Dabic, MD, PhD,<sup>b</sup> Misti L Malone, PhD,<sup>b</sup> Terrie L Reed, MS,<sup>b</sup> Rie Fukaya, MMedSc,<sup>c</sup> Robert A. Lookstein, MD,<sup>d</sup> Nobuhiro Handa, MD,<sup>c</sup> Herbert D. Aronow, MD, MPH,<sup>e</sup> Daniel J. Bertges, MD,<sup>f</sup> Michael R. Jaff, DO,<sup>9</sup> Thomas T. Tsai, MD, MSc,<sup>n</sup> Joshua A. Smale, BS,<sup>1</sup> Margo J. Zaugg, BSN,<sup>1</sup> Robert J. Thatcher, MBA,<sup>k</sup> and Jack L. Cronenwett, MD,<sup>1</sup> *Durham, NC; Silver Spring, Md; Tokyo, Japan: New York, NY: Providence, RI; Burlington, Vt: Newton, Mass; Denver, Colo; Tempe, Ariz, Santa Clara, Calif, Minneapolis, Minn; and Lebanon, NH* 

#### ABSTRACT

Objective: The current state of evaluating patients with peripheral artery disease and more specifically of evaluating medical devices used for peripheral vascular intervention (PVI) remains challenging because of the heterogeneity of the disease process, the multiple physician specialties that perform PVI, the multitude of devices available to treat peripheral artery disease, and the lack of consensus about the best treatment approaches. Because PVI core data elements are not standardized across clinical care, clinical trials, and registries, aggregation of data across different data sources and physician specialties is currently not feasible.

physician specialties is currently not feasib

Jones WS, Krucoff MW et al, J Vasc Surg 2018: 67:637-45



CrossMark



### Late Mortality Safety Signal Discernment for Paclitaxel Delivery Devices in PAD

FDA U.S. FOOD & DRUG	Letters to Health Care Providers / August 7, 2019 UPDATE: Treatment of Peripheral Arterial Disease with Paclitaxel-Coated Balloons and Paclitaxel-Eluting Stents Potentially	Q Search
- Tione / meurical bences / meurical bence salety /	August 7, 2019 UPDATE: Treatment of Peripheral Arterial Disease with Paclitaxel-Coated Balloons and Paclitaxel-Eluting Stents Potentially Associated with Increased Mortality	Associated multimicased mortality
	f Share     ♥ Tweet     in Linkedin     S Email     Print	
Letters to Health Care Providers	August 7, 2019 Earlier this year, we notified health care providers about a late mortality signal in patients treated for peripheral artery disease (PAD) in the femoropopliteal artery with paclitaxel- coated balloons and paclitaxel-eluting stents. We are issuing this update to provide the latest information on our analysis of long-term follow-up data from premarket trials and to provide summary information from our June 2019 advisory panel meeting. In addition, we are including recommendations to health care providers for assessing and treating patients with PAD using paclitaxel-coated devices.	Content current as of: 08/07/2019
	This communication updates our January 17 and March 15, 2019, notifications to health care providers.	
	This communication updates our January 17 and March 15, 2019, notifications to health care providers.	
//www.fda.gov/medical-dev d-balloons-and-paclitaxel	vices/letters-health-care-providers/august-7-2019-update-treatment-peripheral-	arterial-disease-pac

FNTER

### **RAPID PTX Pathways Program**

### Working Groups

- Lean CRF
- SMART CRF
- Patient Preference Science
- Signal Discernment Biostatistics & Epi
- Independent Programs & Projects

### **Partner Collaborations**

- **FDA PTX Team**
- Industry collaborative
- Professional societies collaborative





# The Randomized Registry Trial — The Next Disruptive Technology in Clinical Research?

Michael S. Lauer, M.D., and Ralph B. D'Agostino, Sr., Ph.D.

### Michael S. Lauer, M.D., and Ralph B. D'Agostino, Sr., Ph.D.

### Embedding a randomized clinical trial into an ongoing registry infrastructure: Unique opportunities for efficiency in design of the Study of Access site For Enhancement of Percutaneous Coronary Intervention for Women (SAFE-PCI for Women)

Connie N. Hess, MD, MHS, <sup>a</sup> Sunil V. Rao, MD, <sup>a</sup> David F. Kong, MD, <sup>a</sup> Laura H. Aberle, BSPH, <sup>a</sup> Kevin J. Anstrom, PhD, <sup>a</sup> C. Michael Gibson, MD, <sup>b</sup> Ian C. Gilchrist, MD, <sup>c</sup> Alice K. Jacobs, MD, <sup>d</sup> Sanjit S. Jolly, MD, <sup>c</sup> Roxana Mehran, MD, <sup>f</sup> John C. Messenger, MD, <sup>g</sup> L. Kristin Newby, MD, MHS, <sup>a</sup> Ron Waksman, MD, <sup>h</sup> and Mitchell W. Krucoff, MD <sup>a</sup> Durbam, *NC; Boston, MA; Hersbey, PA; Ontario, Canada; New York, NY; Denver, CO; and Wasbington, DC* 

John C. Messenger, MD, "L. Kristin Newby, MD, "Alice K. Jacobs, MD," Sanjit S. Jolly, MD," Roxana Melran, MD, NG, Boston, MA, Hersbey, PA, Outario, Canada; New York, NF, Denter, CO; and Washington, DC

#### Hess C et al, Am Heart J 2013

#### Rao S et al JACC Cardiovascular Int 7(8)2014



Duke Clinical Research Institute

The NEW ENGLAND JOURNAL of MEDICINE September 2013

A Registry-Based Randomized Trial Comparing Radial and Femoral Approaches in Women Undergoing Percutaneous Coronary Intervention The SAFE-PCI for Women (Study of Access Site for Enhancement of PCI for Women) Trial

Sunil V. Rao, MD,\* Connie N. Hess, MD, MHS,\* Britt Barham, BA,\* Laura H. Aberle, BSPH,\* Kevin J. Anstrom, PHD,\* Tejan B. Patel, MD,† Jesse P. Jorgensen, MD,‡ Ernest L. Mazzaferri Jr., MD,§ Sanjit S. Jolly, MD,|| Alice Jacobs, MD,¶ L. Kristin Newby, MD,\* C. Michael Gibson, MD,# David F. Kong, MD,\* Roxana Mehran, MD,\*\* Ron Waksman, MD,†† Ian C. Gilchrist, MD,‡‡ Brian J. McCourt,\* John C. Messenger, MD,§§ Eric D. Peterson, MD, MPH,\* Robert A. Harrington, MD,|||| Mitchell W. Krucoff, MD\*

Robert A. Harrington, MD, 🔢 Mitchell W. Krucoff, MD\*

Tejan B. Patel, MD, Jesse P. Jorgensen, MD, Ernest L. Mazzaferri Jr., MD, Sanjit S. Jolly, MD, Alice Jacobs, MD, L. Kristin Newby, MD, °C. Michael Gibson, MD, <sup>a</sup> David F. Kong, MD, <sup>a</sup> Roxana Mehran, MD, <sup>a</sup> Ron Waksman, MD, <sup>††</sup> Ian C. Gilchrist, MD, <sup>‡‡</sup> Brian J. McCourt, <sup>a</sup> John C. Messenger, MD, <sup>§§</sup> Eric D. Peterson, MD, MPH, <sup>a</sup>

Lauer M et al, NEJM 2013



### IMDRF Essential Principles for Device Evidence: Registry Infrastructure and Analytic Methodologies



#### IMDRF/Registry WG/N46 FINAL:20.



Title: Tools for Assessing the Usability of Registries in Support of Regulatory Decision-Making

**Final Document** 

Authoring Group: Patient Registries Working Group

Date: 27 March 2018

雨井

#### Yuan Lin, IMDRF Chair

This document was produced by the International Medical Device Regulators Forum. There are no restrictions on the reproduction or use of this document; however, incorporation of this document, in part or in whole, into another document, or its translation into languages other than English, does not convey or represent an endorsement of any kind by the International Medical Device Regulators Forum.

Copyright © 2018 by the International Medical Device Regulators Forum.

pyright © 2018 by the International Medical Device Regulators Fo

 
 Title:
 Methodological Principles in the Use of International Medical Device Registry Data

 Authoring Group:
 IMDRF Patient Registries Working Group

FINAL DOCUMENT

Date: 16 March 2017

Kinky M Barton

IMDRF/Registry WG/N42FINAL:20

Kimby Barton, IMDRF Chair

This document was produced by the International Medical Device Regulators Forum. There are no restrictions on the reproduction or use of this document; however, incorporation of this document, in part or in whole, into another document, or its translation into languages other than English, does not convey or represent an endorsement of any kind by the International Medical Device Regulators Forum.

Copyright © 2017 by the International Medical Device Regulators Forum.

http://www.imdrf.org/

Copyright © 2017 by the International Medical Device Regulators Forum

http://www.imdrf.org/docs/imdrf/final/technical/imdrf-tech-180327-usability-tools-n46.pdf

http://www.imdrf.org/docs/imdrf/final/technical/imdrf-tech-170316-methodological-principles.pdf



Kimby Barton, IMDRF C



European Society G Cardiology doi:10.1093/eurheartj/ebz599



#### EuroHeart: European Unified Registries On Heart Care Evaluation and Randomized Trials

An ESC project to develop a new IT registry system which will encompass multiple features of cardiovascular medicine

In July 2019, the ESC Board agreed to co-ordinate and sponsor the development of the European Unified Registries On Heart care Evaluation And Randomized Trials (EuroHeart) for supporting assessment and improvement of quality of cardiovascular care in Europe based on continuous recording of individual patient data. EuroHeart will offer a common IT- and dataset infrastructure, which will allow participating countries to undertake contin/uous quality improvement, with the added value of providing a platform for observational and randomized research and post-marketing surveillance of new devices and pharmacotherapies. The national quality development programme, infrastructure, and the database will belong to each participating country. The EuroHeart will have the potential to stepwise include most ESC countries, which could either adopt the proposed EuroHeart com-

Practice Guidelines. The EORP provides important information on the development of the treatment of cardiovascular disease in Europe but has been criticized concerning data quality, representativeness and coverage regarding common diseases In 2018, it was agreed that there was a need to further develop ESC-generated observational data and simultaneously expand the scope of the registry programme to also include a quality development programme, long-term monitoring of medical devices and pragmatic RCTs and under instruction of the ESC Board, the principles and pilot phase of European Unified Registries On Heart care Evaluation And Randomized Trials (EuroHeart) project were developed in 2019.

This article presents a summary of the EuroHeart programme.

Improving the quality of observational data



European Heart Journal (2019) 40, 2745-2759





### **Cardiogenic Shock Devices**

#### **Cardiac Safety Research Consortium**

#### Clinical and regulatory landscape for cardiogenic shock: A report from the Cardiac Safety Research Consortium ThinkTank on cardiogenic shock\*

Marc Samsky, MD, <sup>a</sup> Mitchell Krucoff, MD, <sup>b</sup> Andrew D. Althouse, PhD, <sup>c</sup> William T. Abraham, MD, <sup>d</sup> Philip Adamson, MD, MSc, <sup>e</sup> Fernando Aguel, <sup>f</sup> Seth Bilazarian, <sup>g</sup> George D. Dangas, MD, <sup>h</sup> Ian C Gilchrist, MD, <sup>i</sup> Timothy D. Henry, MD, FACC, MSCAI, <sup>j</sup> Judith S. Hochman, MD, <sup>k</sup> Navin K. Kapur, MD, FAHA, <sup>1</sup> John Laschinger, FACC, <sup>m</sup> Roy G. Masters, MD, FRCSC, <sup>n</sup> Eric Michelson, MD, <sup>o</sup> David A. Morrow, MD, MPH, <sup>p</sup> Valarie Morrow, MD, <sup>q</sup> E. Magnus Ohman, MD, <sup>r</sup> Ileana Pina, MD, MPH, <sup>s</sup> Masteric C. Presulfact <sup>†</sup> Vanath Barara, MD, <sup>u</sup> Valarie Seniertein, MD, <sup>y</sup> Fand

Senatore, <sup>v</sup> Norman Stockbridge, MD, MD, <sup>z</sup> and Sunil Rao, MD<sup>aa</sup>

Cardiogenic shock (CS) is a leading ca associated with acute myocardial infa Improved prehospital emergency care a fusion from initially systemic lytics to no

Cardiogenic shock (CS) is a leading cause of mortality associated with acute myocardial infarction (AMI).<sup>1,2</sup> Improved prehospital emergency care and timely reper-

### Shock III at CRT Saturday Feb 22, 2020 Washington D.C.

ogy CathPCI Registry), which also showed rising mortality in patients with AMI-CS who are managed with invasive, contemporary therapeutics including timely



#### Samsky M, Krucoff M et al Am Heart J November 2019



Duke Clinical Research Institute





### Early Feasibility Studies (EFS)





### Early Feasibility Studies: Can We Do Together? 2013-2017-2019

tct2017

StCt2017

Investigational Device Exemptions (IDEs) for Early Feasibility Medical Device Clinical Studies, Including Certain First in Human (FIH) Studies

#### **Guidance for Industry and Food and Drug Administration Staff**

Document issued on: October 1, 2013

Document issued on: October 1, 2013



MEDICAL



https://www.fda.gov/downloads/MedicalDevices/DeviceReg ulationandGuidance/GuidanceDocuments/ucm279103.pdf



**EFS in Japan: PMDA View** 

Sara Takahashi Reviewer Office of Medical Devices III Pharmaceuticals and Medical Devices Agency (PMDA), Japan

### **Percutaneous Mitral Valve EFS POC**



### **HBD for Children**





#### Introduction and achievement of HBD-for-Children

#### Yasuko Nakamura

Reviewer, Office of Medical Devices III Pharmaceuticals and Medical Devices Agency (PMDA)



Japan-USHBD East 2017 Think Tank Meeting



### HBD-for-Children Progress and Challenges

Satoshi <u>Yasukochi</u>, MD Nagano Children's Hospital JSPCCS vice-president

December 7 <sup>th,</sup> 2017					
National Center for Global Health and Medicine (NCGM)					

#### POC candidates December 7<sup>th</sup>, 2017 Documentation (NCGM)

	Covered CP Stent	Medtronic Melody Transcatheter Pulmonary Valve	AMPLATZER muscular VSD occluder
industry	NuMED	Medtronic	ST.JUDE MEDICAL



tct2017

Stct2017

### **Future directions**

- International approaches to safety signal discernment PTX in PAD
- Real World Evidence
- HBD for Children
- Early Feasibility Studies: mitral valve
- High Bleeding Risk PCI patients
- Multi-national collaboration: Inter<sup>2</sup>Nest
- Vascular shunts
- Billing data for event ascertainment ?
- Cardiogenic shock ?





**HBD** 

### **Together We Have Made a Pretty Big Splash!**



