

1 **Change the following as follows:**

2 **System Suitability** <G1-2-181>

3 In order to ensure the reliability on the results of drug anal-
4 yses, it is essential to verify that the test method to be applied
5 to the test, including the method prescribed in the Japanese
6 Pharmacopoeia (JP), can give the results adequate for its intended
7 use using the analytical system in the laboratory in
8 which the test is to be performed, then to carry out system
9 suitability testing for confirming that the analytical system
10 maintains the state suitable for the quality test.

11 **1. Definition and role of system suitability**

12 “System Suitability” is the concept for ensuring that the
13 performance of the analytical system is as suitable for the
14 analysis of the drug as was at the time when the verification
15 of the test method was performed using the system. Usually,
16 system suitability testing should be carried out at every series
17 of drug analysis. The test procedures and acceptance criteria
18 of system suitability testing must be prescribed in the test
19 methods of drugs. The results of drug analyses are not ac-
20 ceptable unless the requirements of system suitability have
21 been met.

22 System suitability testing is an integral part of test methods
23 using analytical instruments, and based on the concept that
24 the equipments, electronic data processing systems, analyti-
25 cal operations, samples to be analyzed and operators consti-
26 tute an integral system that can be evaluated, when the test
27 procedures and acceptance criteria of system suitability test-
28 ing are prescribed in the test methods.

29 **2. Points to consider in setting system suitability**

30 Parameters of system suitability testing to be prescribed in
31 the test method depend on the intended use and type of ana-
32 lytical method. Since system suitability testing is to be car-
33 ried out in a routine manner, it is preferable to select the pa-
34 rameters necessary for ensuring that the analytical system
35 maintains the state suitable for the analysis of the drug and to
36 prescribe its test procedure able to carry out easily and rap-
37 idly.

38 For example, in the case of quantitative purity tests using
39 liquid chromatography or gas chromatography, the evalua-
40 tion of parameters such as “System performance” (to con-
41 firm the ability to analyze target substance specifically),
42 “System repeatability” (to confirm that the degree of varia-
43 tion in the analytical results of target substance in replicate
44 injections is within the allowable limit) and “Test for re-
45 quired detectability” (to confirm the linearity of chromato-
46 graphic response around the specification limit) are usually
47 required.

48 The specifications of system suitability in chromatography
49 should be in accordance with Chromatography <2.00> or Liq-
50 uid Chromatography <2.01>.

51 The followings are supplements to the section of system
52 suitability prescribed in “Liquid Chromatography <2.01>”.

53 **2.1. System repeatability of HPLC and GC**

54 **2.1.1. Allowable limit of system repeatability**

55 It is described in the section of system suitability in “Liq-

56 uid Chromatography <2.01>” that “In principle, total num-
57 ber of replicate injections should be 6”, and “The allowable
58 limit of “System repeatability” should be set at an appropri-
59 ate level based on the data when suitability of the method for
60 the evaluation of quality of the drug was verified, and the
61 precision necessary for the quality test”.

62 Based on the above description, an allowable limit of sys-
63 tem repeatability for 6 replicate injections should be set in
64 consideration with the following descriptions. However, in
65 the case that the test method prescribed in the JP monograph
66 is used for the test, the allowable limit of system repeatability
67 prescribed in the monograph should be applied.

68 (i) Assay for drug substance (for drug substance with the
69 content nearby 100%): An adequate allowable limit should
70 be set at the level that the chromatographic system is able to
71 give the precision suitable for the evaluation of variation in
72 the content of active ingredient within and among the batches
73 of drug substance. For example, the allowable limit of “not
74 more than 1.0%” is usually recommended for the drug sub-
75 stances whose width of content specification are not more
76 than 5%, as is in the case of content specification of 98.0 –
77 102.0% which is often observed in the assay using liquid
78 chromatography.

79 (ii) Assay for drug products: An adequate allowable
80 limit should be set considering the width of content specifi-
81 cation of the drug product and the allowable limit prescribed
82 in the assay of drug substance (when the drug product is an-
83 alyzed by a method with the same chromatographic condi-
84 tions as those used for the analysis of drug substance).

85 (iii) Purity test for related substances: An adequate al-
86 lowable limit should be set considering the concentration of
87 active ingredients in the solution used for the system suitabil-
88 ity testing. In the case that a solution with active ingredient
89 concentration of 0.5 – 1.0% is used for the test of system re-
90 peatability, an allowable limit of “not more than 2.0%” is
91 usually recommended.

92 Recommendations for allowable limits described above
93 should not be applicable to gas chromatography.

94 **2.1.2. Method for decreasing the number of replicate in-** 95 **jections without losing the quality of system repeatability** 96 **testing**

97 It is described in the section of system suitability in “Liq-
98 uid Chromatography <2.01>” that “In principle, total num-
99 ber of replicate injections should be 6. However, in the case
100 that a long time is necessary for one analysis, such as the
101 analysis using the gradient method, or the analysis of samples
102 containing late eluting components, it may be acceptable to
103 decrease the number of replicate injections by adopting new
104 allowable limit of “System repeatability” which can guaran-
105 tee a level of “System repeatability” equivalent to that at 6
106 replicate injections.”

107 In consideration of the above description, a method for de-
108 creasing the number of replicate injections without losing the
109 quality of system repeatability testing is shown below. By
110 utilizing this method, if necessary, one can set the test for
111 system repeatability with reduced number of replicate injec-
112 tions and also change the test setting once being set. In addi-
113 tion, the latest Ministerial Ordinance on Good Manufacturing
114 Practice, related notifications and administrative notices

115 should be referred to for the pharmaceutical affairs proce-
 116 dures for changing the number of replicate injections.
 117 The following table shows the allowable limits to be at-
 118 tained in the test at 3 – 5 replicate injections ($n = 3 - 5$) to
 119 keep the quality test equivalent to that of test at $n = 6$.
 120 However, it should be kept in mind that decrease in the

121 number of replicate injections results in increase in the
 122 weight of each injection, it becomes more important to main-
 123 tain the equipment in a suitable state.

Table Allowable limits to be attained in the test at 3 - 5 replicate injections ($n = 3 - 5$) to keep the quality of test equivalent to that of test at $n = 6$ *

Allowable limit prescribed in the test of $n = 6$		Allowable limit (RSD)					
		1%	2%	3%	4%	5%	10%
Allowable limit to be attained	$n = 5$	0.88%	1.76%	2.64%	3.52%	4.40%	8.81%
	$n = 4$	0.72%	1.43%	2.15%	2.86%	3.58%	7.16%
	$n = 3$	0.47%	0.95%	1.42%	1.89%	2.37%	4.73%

* The probability for inadequate analytical systems to meet the requirements of system suitability testing, is supposed to be 5%.