

1 **Change the following as follows:**

## 2 **System Suitability** <G1-2-181>

3 In order to ensure the reliability on the results of drug anal-  
4 yses, it is essential to verify that the test method to be applied  
5 to the test, including the method prescribed in the Japanese  
6 Pharmacopoeia (JP), can give the results adequate for its intended  
7 use using the analytical system in the laboratory in  
8 which the test is to be performed, then to carry out system  
9 suitability testing for confirming that the analytical system  
10 maintains the state suitable for the quality test.

### 11 **1. Definition and role of system suitability**

12 “System Suitability” is the concept for ensuring that the  
13 performance of the analytical system is as suitable for the  
14 analysis of the drug as was at the time when the verification  
15 of the test method was performed using the system. Usually,  
16 system suitability testing should be carried out at every series  
17 of drug analysis. The test procedures and acceptance criteria  
18 of system suitability testing must be prescribed in the test  
19 methods of drugs. The results of drug analyses are not acceptable  
20 unless the requirements of system suitability have  
21 been met.

22 System suitability testing is an integral part of test methods  
23 using analytical instruments, and based on the concept that  
24 the equipments, electronic data processing systems, analytical  
25 operations, samples to be analyzed and operators constitute  
26 an integral system that can be evaluated, when the test  
27 procedures and acceptance criteria of system suitability testing  
28 are prescribed in the test methods.

### 29 **2. Points to consider in setting system suitability**

30 Parameters of system suitability testing to be prescribed in  
31 the test method depend on the intended use and type of analytical  
32 method. Since system suitability testing is to be carried out in a  
33 routine manner, it is preferable to select the parameters necessary  
34 for ensuring that the analytical system maintains the state suitable  
35 for the analysis of the drug and to prescribe its test procedure  
36 able to carry out easily and rapidly.  
37

38 For example, in the case of quantitative purity tests using  
39 liquid chromatography or gas chromatography, the evaluation of  
40 parameters such as “System performance” (to confirm the ability  
41 to analyze target substance specifically), “System repeatability”  
42 (to confirm that the degree of variation in the analytical results  
43 of target substance in replicate injections is within the allowable  
44 limit) and “Test for required detectability” (to confirm the  
45 linearity of chromatographic response around the specification  
46 limit) are usually required.  
47

48 The specifications of system suitability in chromatography  
49 should be in accordance with Chromatography <2.00> or Liquid  
50 Chromatography <2.01>.

51 The followings are supplements to the section of system  
52 suitability prescribed in “Liquid Chromatography <2.01>”.

### 53 **2.1. System repeatability of HPLC and GC**

#### 54 **2.1.1. Allowable limit of system repeatability**

55 It is described in the section of system suitability in “Liq-

56 uid Chromatography <2.01>” that “In principle, total number  
57 of replicate injections should be 6”, and “The allowable  
58 limit of “System repeatability” should be set at an appropriate  
59 level based on the data when suitability of the method for the  
60 evaluation of quality of the drug was verified, and the  
61 precision necessary for the quality test”.

62 Based on the above description, an allowable limit of system  
63 repeatability for 6 replicate injections should be set in  
64 consideration with the following descriptions. However, in  
65 the case that the test method prescribed in the JP monograph  
66 is used for the test, the allowable limit of system repeatability  
67 prescribed in the monograph should be applied.

68 (i) Assay for drug substance (for drug substance with the  
69 content nearby 100%): An adequate allowable limit should  
70 be set at the level that the chromatographic system is able to  
71 give the precision suitable for the evaluation of variation in  
72 the content of active ingredient within and among the batches  
73 of drug substance. For example, the allowable limit of “not  
74 more than 1.0%” is usually recommended for the drug substances  
75 whose width of content specification are not more than 5%, as  
76 is in the case of content specification of 98.0 – 102.0% which  
77 is often observed in the assay using liquid chromatography.

78 (ii) Assay for drug products: An adequate allowable  
79 limit should be set considering the width of content specification  
80 of the drug product and the allowable limit prescribed in the  
81 assay of drug substance (when the drug product is analyzed by  
82 a method with the same chromatographic conditions as those  
83 used for the analysis of drug substance).

84 (iii) Purity test for related substances: An adequate allowable  
85 limit should be set considering the concentration of active  
86 ingredients in the solution used for the system suitability  
87 testing. In the case that a solution with active ingredient  
88 concentration of 0.5 – 1.0% is used for the test of system  
89 repeatability, an allowable limit of “not more than 2.0%” is  
90 usually recommended.  
91

92 Recommendations for allowable limits described above  
93 should not be applicable to gas chromatography.

#### 94 **2.1.2. Method for decreasing the number of replicate injections without losing the quality of system repeatability testing**

95 It is described in the section of system suitability in “Liquid  
96 Chromatography <2.01>” that “In principle, total number of  
97 replicate injections should be 6. However, in the case that a long  
98 time is necessary for one analysis, such as the analysis using the  
99 gradient method, or the analysis of samples containing late eluting  
100 components, it may be acceptable to decrease the number of  
101 replicate injections by adopting new allowable limit of “System  
102 repeatability” which can guarantee a level of “System repeatability”  
103 equivalent to that at 6 replicate injections.”  
104

105 In consideration of the above description, a method for  
106 decreasing the number of replicate injections without losing the  
107 quality of system repeatability testing is shown below. By  
108 utilizing this method, if necessary, one can set the test for  
109 system repeatability with reduced number of replicate injections  
110 and also change the test setting once being set. In addition,  
111 the latest Ministerial Ordinance on Good Manufacturing Practice,  
112 related notifications and administrative notices  
113  
114

115 should be referred to for the pharmaceutical affairs proce-  
 116 dures for changing the number of replicate injections.  
 117 The following table shows the allowable limits to be at-  
 118 tained in the test at 3 – 5 replicate injections ( $n = 3 - 5$ ) to  
 119 keep the quality test equivalent to that of test at  $n = 6$ .  
 120 However, it should be kept in mind that decrease in the

121 number of replicate injections results in increase in the  
 122 weight of each injection, it becomes more important to main-  
 123 tain the equipment in a suitable state.

**Table** Allowable limits to be attained in the test at 3 - 5 replicate injections ( $n = 3 - 5$ ) to keep the quality of test equivalent to that of test at  $n = 6$ \*

Allowable limit prescribed in the test of $n = 6$		Allowable limit (RSD)					
		1%	2%	3%	4%	5%	10%
Allowable limit to be attained	$n = 5$	0.88%	1.76%	2.64%	3.52%	4.40%	8.81%
	$n = 4$	0.72%	1.43%	2.15%	2.86%	3.58%	7.16%
	$n = 3$	0.47%	0.95%	1.42%	1.89%	2.37%	4.73%

\* The probability for inadequate analytical systems to meet the requirements of system suitability testing, is supposed to be 5%.